**MODEL FOR REVIEW**

***Requirements to reviews.***

Article name:

Reader:

Date of review:

**Please put a grade to each issue from 1 (the lowest grade) to 5 (the highest).**

***GENERAL VALUE OF THE ARTICLE***

1. Is the subject of the article important? ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

2. Does the article have scientific, practical, methodical value? ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

***ARTICLE NAME***

3. Does the article name correspond to its content? ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

***INTRODUCTION***

4. Is the description of investigation understandable for those, who is not aware of the subject? ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

5. Is the goal of investigation represented clearly? ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

***COLLECTED MATERIAL AND METHODS***

6. Are there any statements in the article, supposed to be ethically incorrect? ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

7. Is the methodology suitable? ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

***RESULTS***

8. Are the results of investigation liable? ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

***REFERENCE LIST***

9. Does reference list correspond to the article content and is it represented in the text correctly*?* ***Score****:*

*Remarks:*

General recommendations

10. General assessment. ***Please choose:***

*1) excellent – recommended for publication*

*2) full well – recommended for publication without changes*

*3) well – recommended for publication with a little revision*

*4) countercheck – recommended for publication after a deep revision*

*5) a lot of mistakes – is not recommended for publication*

*6) declined*

11. Final conclusion.

*Please write final comment statement:*